

An Empirical Study of Long-Lived Code Clones

Dongxiang Cai Hong Kong University of Science and Technology Miryung Kim* The University of Texas at Austin

Fundamental Approaches in Software Engineering 2011

Synopsis

We hypothesize that the benefit of clone removal may depend on how long clones survive in the system.

To selectively identify clones to refactor, we investigate the characteristics of long-lived clones.

Finding

We study 33.25 years of clone evolution history from 7 large projects.

The evolutionary characteristics of clones are better indicators for a clone survival time than spatial characteristics.

Outline

Motivation

- A Study of Long-Lived Clones
 - Clone Evolution History Extraction.
 - Feature Vector Extraction and Correlation Analysis
 - Survival Time Prediction Model
- Limitations
- Related Work and Conclusion

Motivation

- Code cloning is not necessarily harmful [Cordy et al. Kapser & Godfrey, Kim et al. LaToza et al.]
- Refactoring may not be always applicable to or beneficial for code clones. [FSE'05 Kim et al.]

Motivation

- In our study of clone genealogies [FSE'05 Kim et al.], we found that
 - some clones never change during evolution.
 - some clones disappear in a short amount of time due to divergent changes.
 - some clones stay in a system for a long time and undergo similar updates repetitively.

It is crucial to selectively identify clones to refactor.

Outline

- Motivation
- A Study of Long-Lived Clones
 - Clone Evolution History Extraction.
 - Feature Vector Extraction and Correlation Analysis
 - Survival Time Prediction Model
- Limitations
- Related Work and Conclusion



Step I. Clone Genealogy Construction

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	I	4	I	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days

Step 3. Correlation Analysis

Step 2. Feature Vector Extraction



Step 4. Clone Survival Time Prediction Model



Clone Genealogy survival a2 a3 time 12 days 101 days 2 [FSE '05 Kim et al.] **Disappeared** В В В В В through refactoring С С Consistent Add Same Inconsistent Change Change A A В В В Consistent Subtract Same Same Change The last investigated Vi+3 Vi Vi+I Vi+2 Vi+4 Vi+5 Vi+6 version



- 3

survival

time 12 days 101 days

Clone Genealogy

clone group





- 3

survival

time 12 days 101 days

Clone Genealogy

[FSE '05 Kim et al.]

cloning relationship





 al
 a2
 a3
 survival time

 GI
 I
 4
 I
 12 days

 G2
 3
 5
 2
 101 days

















al a2 a3 survival time GI I 4 I 12 days G2 3 5 2 I0I days





Dead vs.Alive

 al
 a2
 a3
 survival time

 GI
 I
 4
 I
 12 days

 G2
 3
 5
 2
 101 days

[FSE '05 Kim et al.]

Dead Genealogy: Disappeared at the age of 5 versions



Alive Genealogy: Present in the last version with the age of 4 versions





Clone Genealogy ^{ai} ^{a2} ^{a3} ^{survival} ^{construction} [FSE '05 Kim et al.]

Given multiple versions of a program V_k for $1 \le k \le n$

- find clone groups in each version using CCFinder (threshold setting: 40 tokens)
- find cloning relationships among clone groups of Vi and Vi+1 using CCFinder (threshold setting: 0.8 similarity)
- map clones of Vi and Vi+1 using diff based algorithm.
- separate each connected component of cloning relationships (a clone genealogy)
- identify clone evolution patterns in each genealogy



Data Sets

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	Т	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days

project	LOC	duration (months)	# of check- ins	# of versions
Columba	80448~194031	42 months	420	420
Eclipse	216813~424210	92 months	13790	21
hadoop	226643~315586	I4 months	410	18
hadoop pig	46949~302316	33 months	906	8
HTMLunit	35248~279982	94 months	5850	22
jEdit	84318~174767	91 months	3537	26
JFreeChart	284269~316954	33 months	916	7

In total, we studied 7 large projects, 33.25 years of release history.



Clone Genealogies

\geq	>30	25,405)		>	>25	(%)
		-	-	su	rviv	al

a2 a3

2

GI

G?

time

12 days 101 days

a3

(min token=40, sim th=0.8)

project	Total	Alive	Dead	Dead with age>0
Columba	556	452	104	102
Eclipse	3190	1257	1933	1826
hadoop	3094	627	2467	455
hadoop pig	3302	2474	828	422
HTMLunit	1029	500	529	425
jEdit	654	232	422	245
JFreeChart	1733	1495	238	219

Outline

- Motivation
- A Study of Long-Lived Clones
 - Clone Evolution History Construction
 - Feature Vector Extraction and Correlation Analysis
 - Survival Time Prediction Model
- Limitations
- Related Work and Conclusion

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	I	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days

- We extracted 35 attributes to encode the characteristics of a clone genealogy.
 - I. evolutionary characteristics (9 attributes)
 - 2. spatial characteristics (3 attributes)
 - 3. physical dispersion (21 attributes)
 - 4. developers (2 attributes)
 - class label: clone survival time (in days)

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	I	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days

I. Evolutionary Characteristics

- # of modifications in the container files
- # of consistent change patterns
- A relative timing of *consistent change* pattern with respect to the age of a genealogy
 - Similarly, 6 attributes are defined for *add*, *subtract*, and *inconsistent update* patterns.

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	I	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days

2. Spatial al a2 a3 survival circle 4 a 1 a2 d3 circle 4 a 1 a2

- Total LOC of clones
- # of clones in each group
- The average size of a clone in terms of LOC
- We use information from the last version.

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	Т	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days

3. Physical Dispersion

- The farther clones are located from one another, the harder it is to find and refactor them.
- We encoded physical distribution of clones at different levels (method, class, file, package, and directory) in terms of entropy: $entropy = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -p_i log(p_i)$

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	Т	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days

Entropy	Exam		al (2):3 4 1 3 5 2	survival time 12 days 101 days
---------	------	--	------------------------------	---

{

Package Mountain

File Tree.java

class Tree public void add() {

class Leaf
public void add()

File Forest.java

class Forest

public void add() {

entropy at method level: 1.5 entropy at file level: 0.81 entropy at package level: 0



4. Developer a a a survation Characteristics

- # of developer involved in maintaining clones.
- The distribution of file modifications in terms of developer.
 - The higher the entropy is, more developers equally contributed to clone maintenance.



Pearson's Correlation Analysis

- We measure Pearson's correlation coefficient between each attribute and a clone genealogy survival time (class label).
- We ranked attributes in terms of correlation strength.



Weak Correlation

- The size of clones is not strongly correlated with a clone survival time (ρ =0.009).
- The number of clones in each group is not strongly correlated with a clone survival time (ρ =0.016).
- The physical dispersion of clones is not strongly correlated with a clone survival time (ρ=0.023, 0.018).



Strong Correlation

- The more uniformly developers contribute to maintaining clones, the longer time it takes for clones to be removed (ρ=0.553).
- The more developers maintained clones, the longer the survival time (ρ=0.528).
- The longer it has been since the last addition or deletion of a clone, the longer it takes for the clone to be removed (ρ=0.481, 0.479).

Outline

- Motivation
- A Study of Long-Lived Clones
 - Clone Evolution History Extraction
 - Feature Vector Extraction and Correlation Analysis
 - Survival Time Prediction Model
- Limitations
- Related Work and Conclusion

Predicting Clone



- We create a training data set by categorizing each clone genealogy's clone survival time into five categories: very shortlived, short-lived, normal, long-lived, and very long-lived
- This process requires finding an unbiased binning scheme.

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	Т	4	1	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days



Binning Scheme

• uniform binning scheme

χ=50

[0, 50)	[50, 100)	[100, 150)	[150, 200)	[200, 250)
---------	-----------	------------	------------	------------

- incremental binning scheme
 - $bin_i = bin_{i-1} + 0.5 * \chi(i+1)$

χ=50

$[0, 50)$ $[50, 125)$ $[125, 225)$ $[225, 350)$ $[350, \infty)$	[0, 50)	[50, 125)	[125, 225)	[225, 350)	[350, ∞)
---	---------	-----------	------------	------------	------------------

ala2a3survival
timeGII4I12 daysG2352101 days



Selected Binning

project	# of vectors	survival time (days)	# of genealogies for each category
Columba	102	1.1~1222.2	[0,60):18, [60,120):8, [120,180):9, [180,240):16,(240+):51
Eclipse	1826	687.1~2010	[0,90):204, [90,225):423, [225,405):340, [405,630):510, [630+):349
hadoop common	455	34~585	[0,40):324, [40,100):66, [100,180):16, [180,280):33, [280+):16
hadoop pig	422	30~536.9	[0,40):131, [40,100):92, [100,180):97, [180,280):31, [280+):72
HTMLunit	425	6.9~2122.4	[0,60):125, [60,150):119, [150,270):63, [270,420):24, [420+): 94
jEdit	245	13.3~2281.7	[0,70):22, [70,175):321, [175,315):31, [315,490):22, [490+): 139
JFreeChart	219	. ~4 5	[0,50):37, [50,125):2, [125,225):104, [225,350):38, [350+):38
(varied χ fro	m 30 to 100) in an increment c	of 10 and selected the binning with the highest entropy)

Building Prediction Model



- We then used various classifiers in the Weka tool kit to build survival time prediction models.
- We use 10 fold cross validation to measure precision and recall.

ala2a3survival
timeGII4I12 daysG2352101 days



J48 Prediction Model

project	Precision	Recall
Columba	58.1%	58.8%
Eclipse	79.4%	79.3%
hadoop common	74.5%	78.0%
hadoop pig	79.1%	79.1%
HTMLunit	73.3%	73.6%
jEdit	62.0%	65.7%
JFreeChart	68.2%	70.3%
Total	75.7%	76.5%

 al
 a2
 a3
 survival time

 GI
 I
 4
 I
 12 days

 G2
 3
 5
 2
 101 days



Prediction Model in JDT

- a_3 : The number of *add* evolution patterns.
- a_{11} : The number of times that files containing clones were modified.
- a_{12} : The number of developers involved in maintaining clones.
- a_{27} : The number of unique methods that clones in the last version are located.



Study Limitations

- CCFinder does find non-contiguous clones.
- We used release snapshots as opposed to check-in snapshots.
- We did not consider the dispersion of clones in a class inheritance hierarchy or how easy to refactor those clones.
- We have not investigated the impact of threshold settings in clone genealogy construction.

Related Work

- Identification of refactoring opportunities [Higo et al. Koni-N'Sapu, Balazinska et al. Tsantalis and Chatzigeorgiou et al, etc.]
- Studies about code cloning practice [cordy et al. Kapser & Godfrey, Kim et al. LaToza et al.]
- Clone evolution analysis [Lague et al. Krinke, Aversano et al. Balint et al.]
- Classification of code clones [Kapser and Godfrey, Bellon et al.]

Conclusion

- As a first step to selectively identify clones to refactor, we studied 33 years of clone evolution history in 7 large projects.
- We found that the size, number, and physical dispersion of clones are weakly correlated with a clone survival time.
- On the other hand, the number of **developers** who worked on clones and the frequency and recency of changes to clones have **stronger correlation** with their survival time.

Acknowledgment

This research is in part supported by National Science Foundation, CCF-1043810.

•back up

<=5 a3	>5
a27	al2
[225,405)	[630,∞)

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	Т	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	1	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days



	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	Т	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	Т	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days

Consiste Chang	ent Consistent e Change	Inconsistent Change

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	Т	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	Т	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days

Clone Genealogy '05 Kim et al.]





Clone Group



A **clone group** is a set of clones considered equivalent according to a clone detector.





Add means that at least one code snippet is newly added to the clone group.





Same means all code snippets in the new version's clone group did not change from the old version's clone group.





Consistent change means all code snippets in the old version's clone group have changed consistently; thus they all belong to the new group.



Clone Genealogy [FSE '05 Kim et al.]



Inconsistent change means at least one code snippet in the old version's clone group have changed inconsistently; thus it no longer belongs to the same group.

Dispersion Attributes

- # of methods in which clones in the *last* version are located
- entropy at the method level for clones in the last version
- # of methods in which clones in all versions are located
- entropy at the method level for clones in all versions
 - Similarly, 16 attributes are defined for class, file, package, directory levels.

Consistent Consistent Inconsistent Change Change Change

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	Т	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days

Clone Group

survival

time

12 days 101 days

al a2 a3

GI



A **clone group** is a set of clones considered equivalent according to a clone detector.



ala2a3survival
timeGII4II2 daysG2352I0I days

GI Cone Evolution Betterns



Add means that at least one code snippet is newly added to the clone group.



ala2a3survival
timeGII4II2 daysG2352I0I days

GI Clone Evolution Patterns



Same means all code snippets in the new version's clone group did not change from the old version's clone group.

Consistent Change	Consistent Inconsistent Change Change

	al	a2	a3	survival time
GI	Т	4	Т	12 days
G2	3	5	2	101 days



survival

time

12 days

101 days

al a2 a3

1

GI

Consistent change means all code snippets in the old version's clone group have changed consistently; thus they all belong to the new group.



 al
 a2
 a3
 survival time

 GI
 I
 4
 I
 12 days

 G2
 3
 5
 2
 101 days

Clone Genealogy '05 Kim et al.]



survival

time

12 days

101 days

al a2 a3

2

GI

Inconsistent change means at least one code snippet in the old version's clone group have changed inconsistently; thus it no longer belongs to the same group.